

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/534,358 | 05/09/2005 | Sunil Sadanand Nadkarni | P70725US0 4883 | |
| JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC 400 SEVENTH STREET N.W. | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | BARTS, SAMUEL A | |
| SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, DC 20004 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | . 1621 | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 12/31/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/534,358 | NADKARNI, SUNIL SADANAND | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Samuel A. Barts | 1621 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Octoors</u> 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the option | epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa | te | | | |

Application/Control Number:

10/534,358 Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 10/1/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 2. Applicants submit that Schwartz does not explicitly state that the HCl used was aqueous. This argument is not convincing because Schwartz is silent on whether HCl is aqueous or not. However, aqueous HCl is by far the most common solution of this acid. Therefore it is reasonable to assume that absent a statement to the contrary in Schwartz, that the HCl used was in fact aqueous.
- 3. Applicant argues that in the instant claims the HCl is added to give a clear solution. Schwartz is silent on whether the solution is clear on not. This argument is not convincing because it appears from the examples of the specification and the prior art, that the pH is most essential for the formation of the polymorphs. If there is a pH where Form V is formed and a pH where Form II is formed applicant should clearly point it out in the claims. The term clear is the claim is given very little weight because it is such a vague term
- 4. Applicant is reminded that claim 1 simply requires a) mixing sertraline mandelate with a solvent such as butanol, b) reducing the pH using HCl and c) recovering sertraline hydrochloride Form V. Since all essential steps are required to be a claim the examiner submits that steps "a" and "b" are the required steps for the formation of sertraline Form V. Step "c" is simply the isolation of the already formed sertraline Form V.

Application/Control Number:

10/534,358 Art Unit: 1621 Page 3

- 5. With regards to the instant claims, it is clear that the steps are clearly taught in Schwartz. In particular, Schwartz in example 11 teaches instant claimed steps "a" and "b". Moreover, Schwartz suggests a correlation between Form V and Form II sertraline. Thus it is reasonable to assume that the example in Schwartz must posses some quantity of Form V sertraline because it has all the critical claimed steps.
- 6. Applicants submit other arguments which compared the instant invention to the invention of Schwartz. These arguments are not convincing because applicant has not rebutted the fact the Schwartz meets the broad limitations of claim 1. After all it is the claims that are compared to the prior art not applicant's invention.

The rejections are being maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000.

Application/Control Number:

10/534,358 Art Unit: 1621

Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

8. Claims 1-3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Schwartz. See previous office action and arguments submitted herein.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schwartz. See previous office action and arguments submitted herein

Conclusion

11. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10/534,358

Art Unit: 1621

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel A. Barts whose telephone number is 571-272-2870. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached on 571-272-0871. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Samuel A Barts/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1621